


# **SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM**

Funding Guidelines for Federal Fiscal Year 2022

October 2017

## CONTENTS

Schedule and Decision Making Process .....	3
Map of MPO Member Communities .....	4
Eligibility .....	5
<i>Mobilizing Tomorrow: Preparing the Grant Application</i> .....	5
Grant Application .....	5
Grant Review Criteria.....	6
Project Status Updates.....	6
Funding Considerations .....	6
Bridge Program .....	7
TIP Amendment Process .....	7
Additional Fund Availability .....	7
Termination of Funding: Considerations .....	9
Interpretation .....	8
Appendix A: Evaluation Criteria .....	9
Appendix B: STBG Scoring Matrix .....	10
Appendix C: STBG Set-Aside Scoring Matrix.....	12
Appendix D: Example Formal Resolution.....	13




## Schedule and Decision Making Process

October 5, 2017	Applications posted to the MPO website.
<b><u>November 2, 2017</u></b>	MPO Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program Workshop held to provide a brief overview of the funding programs, discuss Scoring, applications, and to answer any questions.
<b><u>December 8, 2017</u></b>	<b>STBG applications due by 4:30.</b>
January 2018	STBG project evaluation and scoring by MPO staff. Staff will present projects and their respective scores at the February TTC, Environment, Freight, TMAC, Bike/Ped, TAG Public Transportation and Stakeholders Roundtable meetings. MPO will also conduct public outreach including a public meeting and on-line feedback mechanisms to gather input on the project applications.
Early February 2018	MPO Funding Subcommittee hears short project presentations given by sponsor communities.
Late February 2018	MPO Funding Subcommittee holds a public meeting to finalize the STBG funding recommendations before the February Executive and MPO meetings.
April 2018	STBG Recommendations to MPO TTC and MPO Policy for discussion.
May 2018	STBG Recommendations to MPO TTC and MPO Policy for action.
May 2018	Draft <i>Federal Fiscal Years 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program</i> (FFY 2018-2021 TIP) to MPO TTC and MPO Policy for review and comment. Public comment period and public meeting held.
June 2018	Draft FFY 2018-2021 TIP to MPO TTC and MPO Policy for action.
June 2018	Draft FFY 2018-2021 TIP transmitted to Iowa DOT and Federal Highway Administration.
July 2018	Draft FFY 2018-2021 TIP to MPO TTC and MPO Policy for action.
July 2018	Final FFY 2018-2021 TIP transmitted to Iowa DOT.

*\* All dates are tentative and subject to change.*

## Map of MPO Member Communities



# Grant Information and Guidelines

---

## Eligibility

- All projects applying for MPO STBG funding must be sponsored by one or more of the nineteen MPO member governments, the Iowa Department of Transportation, or the Des Moines Area Regional Transit Authority. Other entities are eligible only with co-sponsorship by one of the organizations listed above.
- Projects must be consistent with the goals of the MPO's *Mobilizing Tomorrow* plan. The project must be listed in the plan unless it is a project that primarily:
  - Maintains and optimizes the transportation system
  - Addresses deficient or obsolete bridges
  - Focuses on multi-modal transportation
- Roads must be on the federal-aid system. Bridges must be on Structurally Deficient/Functionally Obsolete (SD/FO) list. Transit accommodations must be compliant with the DART 2035 plan.
- STBG Set-Aside may be used to fund new construction or maintenance of on and off-road bike facilities, pedestrian facilities, streetscapes, environmental mitigation/restoration relating to roadway impacts, historic preservation of transportation facilities, transit accommodations that improve pedestrian access and safe routes to school (non-infrastructure SRTS projects are eligible). For detailed eligibility requirements visit FHWA's FAST Act guidance page linked [here](#).

## ***Mobilizing Tomorrow: Preparing the Grant Application***

Applicants are expected to refer to the approved long range transportation plan, *Mobilizing Tomorrow*, while preparing the grant application as well as the grant review criteria found in [Appendix A](#). Please give specific attention to the follow areas.

- [Setting our Sites: Performance measures and targets](#)
- [Taking Action: Policy Toolkit](#)
- [Technical Resources:](#)
  - MPO Complete Streets Sample Policy
  - Local Complete Streets Sample Policy
  - Recommended Roadway Design Standards
  - Recommended On-Street Bicycle Facility Standards
  - Recommended Parking Guidelines
  - Recommended Transit Supportive Development Guidelines
  - Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Recommendations
- [Trail Gaps](#)

## Grant Application

- The application must be submitted on-line. The link to STBG grant applications and copies of the application questions can found on the MPO website [here](#).
- The link to the STBG Set-Aside grant application and copies of the application questions can found on the MPO website [here](#).
- Each grant application must answer all of the questions including providing a detailed summary of expenditures.
- Email a scanned copy of the resolution from that sponsor's council, board of supervisors, or similar

governing body, guaranteeing the local funds for the STBG match and authorizing the project. An example resolution can be found in [Appendix D](#).

- If you have ESRI ArcGIS software, please click [here](#) and follow the instructions to draw your projects in GIS.
- If your street is on a transit route, please submit a letter from DART with their review and comments on the project. A map of the transit route can be found [here](#).
- Applications are due on or before 4:30 p.m., December 8, 2017.
- For general STBG applications: Questions, scanned letters and GIS shapefiles should be sent to: Zach Young, [zyoung@dmampo.org](mailto:zyoung@dmampo.org).
- For general STBG Set-Aside applications: Questions, scanned letters and GIS shapefiles should be sent to: Mike Armstrong, [marmstrong@dmampo.org](mailto:marmstrong@dmampo.org).

## Grant Review Criteria

- The MPO, when considering project requests for STBG funds, shall place primary emphasis upon region-wide transportation system improvement needs as identified in the *Mobilizing Tomorrow* plan.
- Criteria used for evaluating the project's ability to meet targeted performance measures can be found in [Appendix A-B](#) for general STBG applications and [Appendix C](#) for STBG Set-Aside applications.

## Project Status Updates

If awarded the funds, the community is required to update the MPO on the status of the project. The status updates should follow the Iowa DOT's development progress in TPMS which includes the following steps:

1. Concept Statement
2. Preliminary Plans
3. Check Plans
4. Final Plans
5. Clearances
6. Development Certification
7. Plan Turn In

Project sponsors will include the MPO staff in their correspondence with the Iowa DOT at each stage in the development process. This will increase coordination and allow the staff to better serve its member governments.

## Funding Considerations

- Jurisdictions must bear the initial expenditures of the project, and receive reimbursement for eligible expenditures, as defined by the Iowa DOT. The agreement with the Iowa DOT provides for reimbursement of up to 80 percent of the project cost, or a set amount, whichever is less. Design and engineering costs are generally incurred in the early stages of a project.
- The MPO will strive to fund projects based on the following categories. These allocations serve as recommended minimums.
  - 0-60% for roadway projects
  - 15-75% bridge repair/replacement
  - 10-70% maintaining or optimizing the transportation system

- 10-70% transit
- STBG funds shall be allocated to an individual project for a specific fiscal year in the TIP. For projects extending over multiple years for implementation, funds may be allocated to each of the necessary fiscal years within the TIP to complete the requested project.
- If the total amount of STBG funds received by the MPO for any given fiscal year is less than the total amount of STBG funds allocated by the MPO for that fiscal year, then the MPO shall re-evaluate all of the projects funded for that fiscal year and reallocate STBG funds to those projects based upon the total amount of STBG actually available for that fiscal year, giving consideration to the higher ranking projects.
- Prior to review of new projects to be considered for STBG funding, the MPO shall determine the status of all prior commitments. All projects previously approved and for which some part of STBG funds have been obligated shall receive priority consideration for future or additional funding, except if reasonable progress to completion is not maintained as determined by the MPO. However, the MPO may reduce or eliminate multi-year funding commitments in response to revenue shortfalls, reductions in its STBG allocation, or new priorities.
- MPO staff shall submit to the STBG Funding Subcommittee a ranking of individual project based on the project's ability to meet targeted performance measures. Staff's recommendations for individual projects shall be used by the MPO in the MPO's decision-making process for assigning STBG funds to requesting transportation improvement projects. Staff's recommendations shall be based on the project's ability to support achievement of the MPO's performance measure targets.
- Once the MPO has selected projects for funding, the MPO shall forward a letter to recipient outlining the stipulations associated with acceptance of the MPO's funds, including the need for the recipient to provide periodic updates on the project to the MPO.
- Funding can support multi-year projects.
- The MPO receives federal funding and may not discriminate against anyone on the basis of race, color, or national origin, according to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. By applying to receive these funds the applicant is acknowledging that they understand and adhere to the principles of Title VI when performing activities related to the funding they receive from the Des Moines Area Metropolitan Planning Organization.

## Bridge Program

The STBG guidelines set aside a minimum of 15 percent of STBG funds to address bridges in the region that are on the Structurally Deficient/Functionally Obsolete (SD/FO) list. Each year staff will contact the member governments that have bridges included on the list and request applications for those bridges that the member government is interested in receiving funds to repair/reconstruct. The MPO staff will provide a technical ranking of bridge projects to the STBG Funding subcommittee. The STBG Funding Subcommittee will use the bridge rating and their discretion to recommend a funding award for the bridge projects.

## Project Amendment Process

When a jurisdiction changes the scope of a project, after funds are awarded by the MPO, the project must be reviewed again by the TTC and the MPO Funding Subcommittee to determine whether the change in project scope would have materially changed the project's original performance to meet set performance measures and targets. Based on that determination, the Subcommittee will make a recommendation to

the MPO Executive Committee, up to and including the withdrawal of MPO approval for STBG funding for the project. This is the same process that may occur when a project does not make appropriate, scheduled progress leading to recapture and reallocation of future funds previously designated for the project. The MPO Executive Committee will, after due consideration, make a recommendation to the full MPO for a final decision. Immaterial changes that would not affect the original performance of a project previously ranked and approved for MPO funding may be permitted in the sound discretion of the MPO Executive Director.

## TIP Amendment Process

### Amendments

An amendment is a revision to the TIP. An amendment can include an addition or deletion of a project or a major change in design concept or scope. Any proposed changes that meet any of the following criteria are considered amendments.

- **Project cost:** Projects in which the recalculated project costs increase federal aid by more than 30 percent or increase total federal aid by more than \$2 million from the original amount.
- **Schedule changes:** Projects added or deleted from the TIP.
- **Funding sources:** Adding an additional federal funding source.
- **Scope changes:** Changing the project termini, project alignment, the amount of through traffic lanes, type of work from an overlay to reconstruction, or a change to include widening of the roadway.

If the change to the TIP is an amendment, two primary procedural requirements exist: approval by the MPO's technical and policy boards and that the project follow the MPO's public participation process. When the TIP is amended, the MPO is required to re-demonstrate fiscal constraint of the TIP/STIP. An amendment is limited by the MPO's fiscal constraint determined by the projects programmed at the TIP's approval.

### Administrative Modifications

An administrative modification is a revision making a minor change to a project in the TIP. An administrative modification does not require public review and comment or board approval. In most instances, administrative modifications are also subject to re-demonstration of fiscal constraint of the TIP/STIP.

An administrative modification can include minor changes to project costs and project or project phase initiation dates. Any proposed changes that meet any of the following criteria are considered administrative modifications.

- **Project cost:** Projects in which the recalculated projects costs do not increase federal aid by more than 30 percent or do not increase total federal aid by more than \$2 million from the original amount.
- **Schedule changes:** Changes in schedules to projects included in the first four years of the TIP.
- **Funding sources:** Changing funding from one source to another.
- **Scope changes:** All changes to a project's scope require an amendment.

### Fiscal Constraint

Any change to the TIP, whether it is an administrative modification or an amendment, is subject to the fiscal constraints of the MPO. For example, if a community has a programmed project in the current

construction year of the TIP has \$1 million in federal aid (FFY 2017 in the FFY 2017-2020 TIP) and another project in FFY 2019 has \$1.5 million in federal aid and they requested to move the FFY 2019 project up to FFY 2017, then the requesting jurisdiction would either have to move their currently programmed project backwards and another project with at least \$500,000 in federal aid move backwards to FFY 2018 or later or find a project with at least \$1.5 million in federal aid and have that project move backwards.

Note that the MPO's fiscal constraint is by funding type. For example, if the MPO has \$10 million in STBG funding programmed in the construction year of the TIP, that is the total amount of STBG funding that is available to be spent in that year. In the example in the previous paragraph, funding has to be the same type (e.g. STBG, CMAQ, TAP, etc.).

The requirement to ensure fiscal constraint does not apply to construction year projects that have already been programmed at their full federal aid participation rate and whose programming entry is being adjusted based on an updated cost estimate. That would include all projects that have been programmed with an 80/20 or 90/10 split. Fiscal constraint also does not apply to non-formula funds such as TIGER funding and reappropriated earmark funds.

### **Additional Fund Availability**

In the event that STBG or TAP funds become available which were previously awarded to transportation projects, become available through the reduction of the reserve amount, or become available by an increase in a particular fiscal year's obligation limit, the following steps will be followed, in order, until the situation is sufficiently resolved. All project information will be updated and considered based on the scores but no re-scoring of projects will take place. Projects currently in implementation will not be considered. Projects will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and funded based upon need or by a proportion of the funds available.

- 1) Additional funds will be offered to projects which were awarded funds yet were not fully funded.
- 2) Additional funds Award funds offered to projects which applied for funds but were not awarded funding.
- 3) Projects which have already been awarded funds, and which are programmed after the current program year in the TIP, will be considered for funding in the current program year if, upon review, the projects are ready to proceed with implementation.

### **Termination of Funding: Considerations**


If a jurisdiction/agency's STBG funded project does not make satisfactory progress, does not follow the original scope of the project, or does not obligate the STBG funds provided within the year those funds were authorized by the MPO and noted for that project as previously documented, then the MPO may cancel the remaining STBG funding for that project and return those STBG funds for inclusion in the next fiscal year's STBG funding allocation for projects. Such action to cancel project funding shall be based on the following criteria:

- 1) The MPO strongly believes it necessary to maintain rapid turnover of funds and implementation of specific projects so as not to jeopardize the loss of any funding.
- 2) The MPO strongly encourages jurisdictions/agencies to have at least preliminary project plans completed prior to submitting a project for the MPO's consideration for funding.
- 3) The MPO strongly believes that such a stipulation shall cause jurisdictions/agencies to provide better and more accurate project cost estimates and detailed traffic and

engineering data, enabling both the TTC and the MPO to evaluate a project's feasibility in a more detailed manner.

### **Interpretation**

When and as necessary, the STBG Funding Subcommittee will exercise responsibility for interpreting the applicable Guidelines, subject to review and approval, disapproval, or modification by the MPO Executive Committee, subject to review and approval, disapproval, or modification by the full MPO Policy Committee.



## Appendix A: Performance Measure Evaluation Criteria

EVALUATION CRITERIA		SCORE
<b>TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS</b>		<b>100</b>
<i>Transportation Infrastructure and Services are Well-managed and Optimize</i>		<b>40</b>
1	Project improves or maintains an existing route or intersection - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
2	Project addresses major maintenance including deficient or obsolete bridge, pavement in poor or very poor condition or state of good repair for buses - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
3	Project is on a corridor with existing congestion (LOS E or F in peak hours) - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
4	Project is on a corridor with future congestion (LOS E or F during peak hours by 2020 based on the MPO's Travel Demand Model) - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
5	Project design includes one or more of the following congestion management strategies:	
	a. Improvements to access management	+
	b. ITS/Signalization improvements	+
	c. Improvements to turning movements	+
	d. Improves parallel facility/contributes to alternative routing	+
6	Route addresses designated freight impediment - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
7	Project on a roadway with traffic volumes exceeding 10,000 AADT - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
<i>Enhance Multimodal Transportation Options</i>		<b>20</b>
8	Project is on an existing or planned transit route - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
9	If project is on a transit route, the project includes design elements such as bus shelters, benches, pullouts, pedestrian connection from transit stop to sidewalk	+
10	Project includes an addition to or improvement of the bicycle network	+
11	Project enhances multi-modal opportunities within or along a designated node/corridor as defined in The Tomorrow Plan - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
12	Project improves pedestrian access and facilities	+
<i>Improve the Region's Environmental Health</i>		<b>20</b>
13	Project increases the number of street tree plantings or other landscaping.	+
14	Project avoids a critical natural resource: wetland, floodplain, known endangered species site, stream, or park/trail - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
15	Project avoids a natural resource of concern: habitat, hydric soils or contaminated site - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
16	Project is using permeable paving, vegetation or other green streets techniques to manage 1 ¼ inches of the average rainfall.	+
17	Project decreases energy consumption (idle reduction, electric vehicle infrastructure, etc.)	+
<i>Further the health, safety, and well-being of all residents in the region.</i>		<b>20</b>
18	Project is located in a high-crash area as defined by CMAT and the project incorporates traffic calming solutions - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
19	Project has traffic calming solutions to reduce modal conflict	+
20	Project is entirely or partially located within a social justice area - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+
21	Project enhances multimodal transportation to/from a social justice area	+
22	Project promotes safe routes to schools (within 1/2 mile radius of a school with multi-modal elements - <a href="#">see Map</a>	+

## Appendix B: STBG Scoring Matrix

Criteria No.	Evaluation	Points
1	If project is located on an existing route or intersection, project receives points	4
2	Project satisfies at least one of the following questions: Project is located on roadway segment with pavement in poor or very poor condition Project meets map criteria for SD/FO bridges and answers YES to question's 89, 90, & 92	4
3	If project is located on corridor with existing congestion (peak hours) at LOS of E or F, project receives points.	4
4	If project is located on corridor with future congestion (peak hours) at LOS of E or F by 2020, project receives points	4
5a	If project satisfies any of the following: If answer to question 46 is less than the answer to question 45, project receives points If answer to question 36 is YES, project receives points	4
5b	If answer to question 44 is YES, project receives points	4
5c	If answer to question 38 is YES to any of the options, project receives points	4
5d	If answer to question 79 is YES, project receives points	4
6	If answer to question 87 is YES and project is identified on Map, project receives points	4
7	If project is located on roadway with traffic volumes exceeding 10,000 ADT, project receives points	4
8	If project is located on an existing or planning transit route, project receives points	4
9	Project satisfies criteria 8 and at least 2 out of 3 of the following questions: If the sum of the answers to question 49 and 50 is greater than answer to question 49, project receives points If the sum of the answers to question 57 and 59 is greater than answer to question 57, project receives points If answer to question 60 is YES, project receives points	4
10	If answer to question 67 is YES, project receives points	4
11	If project satisfies criteria 8-9 and is located in a node or corridor identified in The Tomorrow Plan, project receives points	4
12	Project satisfies following questions (up to four, one point each):  If answer to question 29 is less than or equal to 10 feet, project receives point If answer to question 33 is less than or equal to 35 mph, project receives point If answer to question 42 is less than or equal to 10 feet, project receives point If answer to question 48 is equal to or greater than 6 feet, project receives point  If the sum of the answers to question 49 and 50 is greater than answer to question 49, project receives point  If answer to question 55 is YES, project receives point If answer to question 56 is YES, project receives point  If the sum of the answers to question 61 and 62 is greater than the answer to question 61, project receives point (if >0)  If answer to question 73 is YES, project receives point If answer to question 83 is YES, project receives point	4
13	If answer to question 75 is YES, project receives points	4
14	If project avoids critical natural area identified on map, project receives points	4
15	If project avoids natural resource of concern, project receives points	4
16	If answer to question 81 is YES, project receives points	4

Criteria No.	Evaluation	Points
17	Project satisfies at least one of the following questions: If answer to question 63 is greater than 0, project receives points If answer to question 85 is YES, project receives points	4
18	If project is located in a high crash area and satisfies at least one the following: If answer to question 33 is less than or equal to 35 mph, project receives points If answer to question 83 is YES, project receives points	4
19	If answer to question 83 is YES, project receives points	4
20	If project is located in a social justice area, project receives points	4
21	If project is located in social justice area and satisfies criteria 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12, project receives points	4
22	If project is located within ½ mile radius of school and satisfies criteria 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12, project receives points	4
<b>Total Points</b>		<b>100</b>

## Appendix C: STBG Set-Aside Scoring Matrix

Criteria No.	Evaluation Criteria	Points
1	Multipurpose aspects	15
2	Financial plan	15
3	Quality/significance of the site	15
4	Regional need for the project, public demand, etc.	15
5	Local support	10
6	Relationship to other regional plans/projects (MPO Trail Gaps, CONNECT, The Tomorrow Plan, etc.)	10
7	Urgency of the implementation of the project	10
8	Status of the facility right-of-way	5
9	Impact to regional economic development and tourism	5
<b>Total Points</b>		<b>100</b>

## Appendix D: Example Formal Resolution

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE **[MEMBER GOVERNMENT]** TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION FOR FUNDING FROM THE FEDERAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM (STBG) TO THE DES MOINES AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MPO) FOR THE PARTIAL FUNDING OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF **[PROJECT NAME]** AND FURTHER APPROVING THE APPLICATION WHICH OBLIGATES THE **[CITY]** TO MATCHING FUNDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF SAID PROJECT

Whereas, the **[Member Government]** is a full member of the Des Moines Area Metropolitan Planning Organization; and

Whereas, the Federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Program provides funding to local jurisdictions for the construction of eligible projects; and

Whereas, the program is administered by the Des Moines Area Metropolitan Planning Organization which prioritizes and ranks all project applications; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE **[GOVERNING BODY]** OF THE **[MEMBER GOVERNMENT]** that:

The **[Governing Body]** supports and approves the attached application for Federal Surface Transportation Program funding.

The **[Governing Body]** hereby commits to the **[Member Government]** matching monies as required by the Federal Surface Transportation Program funding.

The **[Member Government]** hereby commits to accepting and maintaining these improvements for a minimum of twenty (20) years following the completion.

The **[Designated Official]** is hereby authorized to approve and execute the application on behalf of the **[Governing Body]**.

PASSED AND APPROVED THIS \_\_\_\_\_  
Date

