

# FFY 2023 Surface Transportation Block Grant - Bridge Program Application

Application for Surface Transportation Block Grant Program Funding

\* Required

## Contact Information

---

### 1. Primary Sponsor \*

Mark only one oval.

- Altoona
- Ankeny
- Bondurant
- Carlisle
- Clive
- Dallas County
- DART
- Des Moines
- DOT
- Grimes
- Johnston
- Madison County
- Mitchellville
- Norwalk
- Pleasant Hill
- Polk City
- Polk County
- Urbandale
- Warren County
- Waukee
- West Des Moines
- Windsor Heights

**2. Secondary Sponsor**

*Mark only one oval.*

- Altoona
- Ankeny
- Bondurant
- Carlisle
- Clive
- Dallas County
- DART
- Des Moines
- DOT
- Grimes
- Johnston
- Madison County
- Mitchellville
- Norwalk
- Pleasant Hill
- Polk City
- Polk County
- Urbandale
- Warren County
- Waukee
- West Des Moines
- Windsor Heights

**3. Contact Person \***

---

**4. Phone Number \***

---

**5. Email Address \***

---

**Project Description**

**6. Project Title \***

---

**7. Project Description \***

---

---

---

---

---

**8. Termini Description ( i.e. Park Avenue to 19th Street) \***

---

**9. Total Estimated Project Cost \***

---

**10. Federal Fiscal Year 2023 STBG Request \***

---

**11. Total Funding Secured \***

---

**12. Source of additional funds and local match? \***

If the proposed improvement has secured funding, please list the sources and FFY of secured funding.

---

---

---

---

---

**13. What is the structural rating of the bridge?**

See MPO's SD/FO Bridge List

---

**14. What is this project LRTP number (if applicable)?**

---

**15. Is this project seeking funding over multiple years? \***

Mark only one oval.

Yes

No

*Skip to question 18.*

16. How many consecutive years will funding be requested? \*

Mark only one oval.

- 2 Years
- 3 Years
- +4 Years

17. What is the total anticipated STBG funding request over the multiple years? \*

---

18. Has any part of this project been started or completed? \*

Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No

19. Has your agency previously applied for STBG funds for this project? \*

Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No

20. Has this project previously been awarded STBG funds? \*

Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No     *Skip to question 22.*

21. What is the project's TPMS number? \*

---

## Project Need

22. The Federal Highway Administration requires STBG funds to be used towards regionally significant projects. Please describe how this project fulfills this requirement. \*

---



---



---



---



---

**23. Describe how this project impacts other city/county goals, plans, and projects. \***

---



---



---



---



---

**24. Describe any work previously completed (or underway) that this project complements or is recommended in other planning studies/construction projects \***

---



---



---



---



---

**25. Expansion is considered an expensive and last resort to address congestion issues. If this is an expansion project please explain what other methods have been used to address congestion.**

Please review the MPO's Congestion Management Policy

---



---



---



---



---

**26. If other, please describe.**

---



---



---



---



---

## Design Elements

**27. Number of travel lanes \***

Mark only one oval per row.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Existing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Proposed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**28. Existing travel lane width (ft) \***

---

29. Proposed travel lane width (ft) \*

---

30. Existing total bridge width (ft) \*

---

31. Proposed total bridge width (ft) \*

---

32. Existing posted speed (mph) \*

---

33. Proposed posted speed (mph) \*

---

## Design Elements

34. Existing sidewalk width? \*

---

35. Proposed sidewalk width? \*

---

36. Number of existing on-street parking spots? \*

---

37. Number of proposed on-street parking spots? \*

---

## Design Elements

38. Existing bicycle facility?

*Mark only one oval.*

Yes

No *Skip to question 41.*

## Design Elements

**39. Existing bicycle facility type? \***

*Mark only one oval.*

- Traditional bicycle lane
- Buffered/protected bicycle lane
- Shared-Use path

**40. Existing bicycle facility width? \***

\_\_\_\_\_

## Design Elements

**41. Proposed bicycle facility? \***

*Mark only one oval.*

- Yes
- No *Skip to question 44.*

## Design Elements

**42. What type of bicycle facility?**

*Mark only one oval.*

- Traditional bicycle lane
- Buffered/protected bicycle lane
- Shared-Use path

**43. What is the width of the bicycle facility? \***

\_\_\_\_\_

## Design Elements

**44. Does the project improve a parallel facility or contribute to alternative routing? \***

*Mark only one oval.*

- Yes
- No *Skip to question 46.*

**45. Describe how the project improves a parallel facility or contributes to alternative routing? \***

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

46. Does the project address an identified freight impediment? \*

Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No Skip to question 48.

47. Describe how the project address an identified freight impediment? \*

---



---



---



---



---

48. The MPO receives federal funding and may not discriminate against anyone on the basis of race, color, or national origin, according to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. By applying to receive these funds the applicant is acknowledging that they understand and adhere to the principles of Title VI when performing activities related to the funding they receive from the Des Moines Area Metropolitan Planning Organization. \*

Mark only one oval.

- Agree
- Disagree

49. To the best of my knowledge all information included in this application is true and accurate, including the commitment of all design features, physical and financial resources. This application has been duly authorized by participating local authority(s). I understand the FORMAL RESOLUTION binds the participating local governments to provide the required matching funds, design features according to those listed in the application and to assume responsibility for adequate maintenance of any new or improved facilities. I understand that, although this information is sufficient to secure a commitment of funds, an executed contract between the applicant and the Iowa Department of Transportation is required prior to the authorization of funds. \*

Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No

50. A GIS shapefile has been sent to the MPO \*

Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No

51. An executed city resolution has been emailed to the MPO \*

Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No



**52. If proposed project is on an existing or future DART transit line, has a letter of review from DART been emailed to the MPO \***

*Mark only one oval.*

Yes

No

**53. Additional information you would like to share:**

---

---

---

---

---

**Thank you for submitting**

---

Powered by  
 Google Forms